

Professor/Course Evaluation Results

Evaluation for: **Simoncini Gabriele PL 209**

Course Session: **Summer I 2007**

Evaluation Results

Part1	5.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	Tot. Resp.	Q. Avg.	<i>The Professor</i>
Question1	10	2	0	0	0	12	4.83	Is well prepared
Question2	11	1	0	0	0	12	4.92	Returns assigned work and tests promptly
Question3	5	7	0	0	0	12	4.42	Gives me adequate indication of my progress/standing
Question4	5	6	0	0	0	11	4.45	Has a clear grading policy
Question5	8	3	1	0	0	12	4.58	Grades Fairly
Question6	5	6	1	0	0	12	4.33	Is available for Consultation
Question7	10	0	2	0	0	12	4.67	Stimulated my interest
Question8	7	4	1	0	0	12	4.5	Communicates the subject matter effectively
Question9	9	3	0	0	0	12	4.75	Encourages discussion and exchange when appropriate
Question10	9	3	0	0	0	12	4.75	Stresses the importance of academic honesty
Question11	9	1	2	0	0	12	4.58	Is concerned that students learn and understand
Question12	10	2	0	0	0	12	4.83	Overall I would rate this Professor
Prof.Avg							4.63	<i>The course</i>
Question13	9	3	0	0	0	12	4.75	The Course Objectives were clearly stated
Question14	5	7	0	0	0	12	4.42	The Objectives have been achieved
Question15	6	6	0	0	0	12	4.5	Instructional method help to achieve the course objectives
Question16	9	0	3	0	0	12	4.5	The Text(s) and other instructional materials are appropriate
Question17	6	5	1	0	0	12	4.42	Tests, papers and assignments aid comprehension of the subject
Question18	7	5	0	0	0	12	4.58	Overall this course has been of value to me
Course Avg							4.53	
Total Avg							4.58	

What were the greatest strenghts of the Course/Professor?

- *very intelligent man
- *His knowledge of international affairs
- *He explain very clearly and catch everyone's attention.
- *I liked discussion about current issues.
- *The lectures
- *He explains the subject very well and he is very well prepared.
- *Explaining the idea and concepts in an understandable way.
- *Knowledge of world affairs

What were the greatest Weaknesses of the Course/Professor?

- *no need for \$\$\$textbook\$\$\$
- *the topics are too general and theoretical
- *Sometimes lectures straight from the book.
- *paper
- *none
- *Involving more class discussions not just lectures

*Communication of matter. Sometimes I could not at first relate to what he was saying

What would you recommend to improve the course?

*Research paper should be discussed more during class/ given time to work on it. (this is probably due to short summer semester)

*He should write things down on the board, paper for us to help with notes

*More integration of current issues

*be more clear about paper.

*none

*More media to help show case topics (movies etc)

Professor-Course Evaluation Composite Table Summer I 2007

Rank	Prof. Eval.	Course Eval.	Composite Eval.	
1	4.96	4.99	4.97	Top 25%
2	4.91	4.95	4.93	
3	4.85	5	4.92	
4	4.98	4.83	4.9	
5	4.85	4.85	4.85	
6	4.91	4.77	4.84	
7	4.82	4.8	4.81	
8	4.68	4.83	4.76	
9	4.65	4.79	4.72	
10	4.74	4.69	4.72	
11	4.67	4.67	4.67	Middle 50%
12	4.62	4.71	4.66	
13	4.74	4.58	4.66	
14	4.69	4.64	4.66	
15	4.6	4.71	4.65	
16	4.72	4.57	4.64	
17	4.68	4.58	4.63	
18	4.63	4.53	4.58	
19	4.62	4.45	4.54	
20	4.51	4.57	4.54	
21	4.7	4.35	4.53	
22	4.65	4.38	4.52	
23	4.52	4.42	4.47	
24	4.15	4.43	4.29	
25	4.23	4.23	4.23	
26	4.15	4.08	4.12	
27	4.13	4.03	4.08	
28	4.27	3.86	4.06	
29	3.95	4.12	4.04	
30	4.15	3.91	4.03	
31	3.95	4.11	4.03	
32	4.15	3.87	4.01	
33	4.15	3.81	3.98	Bottom 25%
34	3.96	3.81	3.88	
35	4	3.75	3.88	
36	3.61	3.45	3.53	
37	3.77	3.2	3.49	
38	3.61	3.15	3.38	
39	3.38	3.22	3.3	
40	3.65	2.82	3.24	
41	3.47	2.93	3.2	

42	3	3.05	3.02	
----	---	------	------	--